

Factorization and Effective Theories

Ugo Aglietti, Guido Corbò

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma ‘La Sapienza’
INFN, Sezione di Roma I, P.le A. Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy.

Abstract

We prove factorization in the decay $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} + jet$ using the Large Energy Effective Theory. The proof is non perturbative, does not require any gauge fixing and is exact in the limit of a very narrow jet. On the other hand, it is shown that the Large Energy Effective Theory is unable to consistently describe completely exclusive processes such as for example $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} + \pi$ due to an oversimplification of transverse momentum dynamics. Therefore we present a variant of the Large Energy Effective Theory, i.e. a new effective theory for massless particles which properly takes into account transverse degrees of freedom and is the natural framework to study exclusive non-leptonic decays.

We consider the decay

$$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} + jet \quad (1)$$

in the limit $m_b - m_c \rightarrow \infty$. The process is described by the following four-point correlation function:

$$\langle 0 | T L(z) D(y) \mathcal{H}_W(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle \quad (2)$$

taking the asymptotic limits

$$t_x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad t_y \rightarrow +\infty, \quad t_z \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3)$$

$B(x) = \bar{q}(x)\Gamma_B b(x)$, $D(x) = \bar{q}(x)\Gamma_D c(x)$ and $L(x) = \bar{u}(x)\Gamma_L d(x)$ are interpolating fields for the B , $D^{(*)}$ and the jet containing *up* and *down* quarks respectively; $\Gamma_{B,D,L}$ are suitable matrices in Dirac space and $\mathcal{H}_W(x)$ is the effective non-leptonic weak Hamiltonian which may be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_W(x) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} [C_1 O_1(x) + C_8 O_8(x)]. \quad (4)$$

G_F is the Fermi constant and $O_1(x)$, $O_8(x)$ are local four-fermion operators which can be written as

$$O_i(x) = g^{\mu\nu} J_{i\mu}(x) j_{i\nu}(x) \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{i\mu}(x) &= \bar{c}(x) \gamma_{\mu L} \xi_i b(x) \\ j_{i\mu}(x) &= \bar{d}(x) \gamma_{\mu L} \xi_i u(x) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

are singlet and octet currents in colour space. $\gamma_L^\mu = \gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)$, $\xi_i = 1, t^a$ for $i = 1$ and 8 respectively. C_1, C_8 are Wilson coefficients resumming hard gluon effects of the form $\alpha_S^n \log^k(m_W^2/m_b^2)$, $k \leq n$ [1].

Performing the functional integration over the fermionic fields we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0 | T L(z) D(y) \mathcal{H}_W(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{iS_{eff}[A_\mu]} \langle 0 | T L(z) D(y) \mathcal{H}_W(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle_A \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$S_{eff}[A_\mu] = S_{YM}[A_\mu] + n \ln \det [i\hat{D} (A_\mu)]$$

is an effective action for the gauge field involving fermion loops. n is the number of flavours and

$$N = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{iS_{eff}[A_\mu]}.$$

According to the Wick theorem we write for the correlation in a given gauge field A_μ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | T L(z) D(y) \mathcal{H}_W(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle_A \\ &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1,8} C_i \langle 0 | T D(y) J_{i\mu}(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle_A \cdot \langle 0 | T L(z) j_i^\nu(0) | 0 \rangle_A \\ &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1,8} C_i \text{Tr} \left[iS_c(y | 0; A_\mu) \gamma_{\mu L} \xi_i iS_b(0 | x; A_\mu) \tilde{\Gamma}_B iS_s(x | y; A_\mu) \Gamma_D \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \text{Tr} \left[iS_d(z | 0; A_\mu) \gamma_L^\mu \xi_i iS_u(0 | z; A_\mu) \Gamma_L \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_B = \gamma_0 \Gamma_B^\dagger \gamma_0$. We have taken a valence strange quark ($q = s$) to avoid unimportant contractions. Each contribution involves the product of two separate ‘heavy’ and ‘light’ fermionic traces.

Now we replace the *down* and *up* propagators with the particle and antiparticle propagators of the Large Energy Effective Theory [2] (*LEET*) respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} iS_d(z | 0; A_\mu) &\rightarrow iS_0(z) P \exp \left[ig \int_0^z dx_\mu A^\mu(x) \right] \\ iS_u(0 | z; A_\mu) &\rightarrow iS_0(z) P \exp \left[ig \int_z^0 dx_\mu A^\mu(x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $iS_0(x)$ is the free *LEET* propagator,

$$iS_0(x) = \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau \delta^{(4)}(x - n\tau) = \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \theta(t) \frac{\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{u}t)}{n_0} \quad (10)$$

For the ‘light’ trace we have:

$$\text{Tr} \left[iS_d(z | 0; A_\mu) \gamma_L^\mu iS_u(0 | z; A_\mu) \Gamma_L \right]$$

$$= \frac{\theta(t)}{n_0^2} \delta_\Lambda^{(3)}(\vec{x}=0) Tr_{spin} \left[\frac{\hat{n}}{2} \Gamma_L \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \gamma_L^\mu \right] Tr_{col}. \quad (11)$$

$\delta_\Lambda^{(3)}(\vec{x})$ is a regularized δ -function

$$\delta_\Lambda^{(3)}(\vec{x}) = \int^\Lambda \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \frac{\Lambda^3}{6\pi^2} \delta_{\vec{x},0} \quad (12)$$

and

$$Tr_{col} = Tr \left[P e^{i \int_0^z A_\mu dx^\mu} \xi_i P e^{i \int_z^o A_\mu dx^\mu} \right] = 3\delta_{i,1} \quad (13)$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

We see that the term with $i = 8$ does not contribute to the correlation. The physical reason of this result is that a quark and an antiquark in the same point in a colour singlet state cannot emit a gluon and go into an octet state: the dipole field strength is zero. The only contribution comes from the term with $i = 1$ (i.e. from O_1 only). Here we reach the main point: the P-lines are one the inverse of the other so that the dynamics of the light pair is in fact *independent* of the gauge field and consequently on the heavy system dynamics. The functional integration over A_μ involves the heavy trace only and we have factorization of the correlator [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | T L(z) D(y) \mathcal{H}_W(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} C_1 \langle 0 | T D(y) J_1^\mu(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | T L(z) j_{1\mu}(0) | 0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The proof we have given is non perturbative, does not require any gauge fixing and is exact in the limit of a very narrow jet (an extended version of this analysis is given in ref. [4]).

There are however corrections to factorization in the seminclusive process (1) related to the fact that the jet formed by the light ud pair is not infinitely narrow. Let us assume a jet angular width

$$2\delta \ll 1. \quad (15)$$

This implies that the light pair can be emitted with a relative angle up to 2δ , so that the light-like vectors n and n' of the quark and the antiquark can be written, up to first order, as:

$$n = (1; \delta, 0, 1), \quad n' = (1; -\delta, 0, 1). \quad (16)$$

We have taken the relative motion of the pair in the x direction and $n^2 = n'^2 = \delta^2 \sim 0$. The two light quarks are created in the origin and reach two different points which we call z and z' . The correlation describing the semi-inclusive decay can now be written

$$\langle 0 | T F(z, z') D(y) \mathcal{H}_W(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle \quad (17)$$

$F(z, z')$ is a bilinear operator which, by covariance, may be written as

$$F(z, z') = \overline{Q}_{n'}(z') \Gamma_L P \exp \left[ig \int_z^{z'} dx_\mu A^\mu(x) \right] Q_n(z) \quad (18)$$

There is an ambiguity (of non-perturbative kind) in the choice of the path connecting the point z with z' . We consider small angles of emission of the quarks and we simply take the small segment joining z with z' .

The weak hamiltonian now contains two $LEET$ fields with different velocities n and n' , so that the operators O_1 and O_8 in eq.(4) are of the form

$$O_i(x) = g^{\mu\nu} J_{i\mu}(x) \overline{Q}_n(x) \gamma_{\nu L} \xi_i Q_{n'}(x) \quad (19)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | T F(z, z') D(y) \mathcal{H}_W(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle_A \\ &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1,8} C_i \langle 0 | T D(y) J_{i\mu}(0) B^\dagger(x) | 0 \rangle_A \\ & \quad \cdot \langle 0 | T F(z, z') \overline{Q}_n(0) \gamma_L^\mu \xi_i Q_{n'}(0) | 0 \rangle_A \\ &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1,8} C_i Tr \left[iS_c(y | 0; A_\mu) \gamma_{\mu L} \xi_i iS_b(0 | x; A_\mu) \tilde{\Gamma}_B iS_s(x | y; A_\mu) \Gamma_D \right] \\ & \quad \cdot Tr \left[iS_n(z | 0; A_\mu) \gamma_L^\mu \xi_i iS_{n'}(0 | z'; A_\mu) \Gamma_L P(z' | z; A_\mu) \right] \\ &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1,8} C_i Tr \left[iS_c(y | 0; A_\mu) \gamma_{\mu L} \xi_i iS_b(0 | x; A_\mu) \tilde{\Gamma}_B iS_s(x | y; A_\mu) \Gamma_D \right] \\ & \quad \cdot Tr \left[P(z | 0) \xi_i P(0 | z') P(z' | z) \right] \\ & \quad \cdot \theta(t_z) \theta(t'_z) \frac{\delta^{(3)}(\vec{z} - \vec{u}t_z)}{n_0} \frac{\delta^{(3)}(\vec{z}' - \vec{u}'t'_z)}{n'_0} Tr \left[\frac{\hat{n}}{2} \gamma_L^\mu \frac{\hat{n}'}{2} \Gamma_L \right] \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In the last member we have replaced *LEET* propagators for the light quarks and a more compact notation $P(y | x)$ for a P-line joining x with y has been introduced.

Unlike the previous case in which $n' = n$, the P-lines do not cancel each other any more. There is a non trivial dependence of the light trace on the gauge field and light quark dynamics is represented by a Wilson loop along a thin triangular path with vertices in the origin and in the points $z = n\tau$, $z' = n'\tau'$ with some selected value for τ and τ' .

As noted in ref.[5], the *LEET* is affected by an instability problem. Let us briefly review this phenomenon, considering the collision between an effective quark Q with (residual) momentum k and a massless quark q of momentum p described by the Dirac theory. Energy-momentum conservation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{p}| + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{k} &= |\vec{p}'| + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{k}' \\ \vec{p} + \vec{k} &= \vec{p}' + \vec{k}'. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let us assume that $p + k$ is a time-like vector, $(p + k)^2 > 0$. In the COM frame, $\vec{p} + \vec{k} = 0$, with $\vec{n}$ oriented along the $+z$ axis, we have

$$p(1 - \cos \theta) = p'(1 - \cos \theta') = \mathcal{E} \quad (22)$$

where θ is the angle between the quark 3-momentum and $\vec{n}$. The prime denotes final state quantities and

$$\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{(p + k)^2} \ll E \quad (23)$$

is the total energy of the system in the *LEET*; it is expected to be of the order Λ_{QCD} in *QCD* applications, while E is the hard scale of the process. The instability originates because

$$p' = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - \cos \theta'} \rightarrow \infty \quad (24)$$

when

$$\theta' \rightarrow 0. \quad (25)$$

It is related to the emission of particles in the forward direction $\vec{n}$, the flight direction of Q .

Let us assume now a finite angular resolution $\delta > 0$ of the detectors [6]. This implies that Q cannot be distinguished from almost-collinear partons. We consider a cone of half-opening angle δ with the axis along $\vec{n}$. We have that q is observed as an individual particle if it is emitted in the final state outside the cone,

$$\theta' > \delta. \quad (26)$$

In this case the energy is bounded by

$$p' < \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - \cos \delta} < \infty, \quad (27)$$

and cannot diverge anymore. Therefore, the divergence (24) does not occur for an observable parton. On the other hand, if the final parton is inside the cone,

$$\theta' < \delta, \quad (28)$$

the finite angular resolution makes impossible to detect q and Q as separated particles and to measure their individual energies. A single particle (jet) is observed with the sum of the parton energies

$$p' + \epsilon' = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - \cos \theta'} - \cos \theta' \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - \cos \theta'} = \mathcal{E} < \infty \quad (29)$$

The individual energies are separately divergent but the sum is finite (small) by assumption (it equals the initial energy). The instability is therefore eliminated by the angular separation requirement.

We now show that the *LEET* is not adequate to describe *exclusive* channels such as, for example, the decay

$$B \rightarrow D^{(*)} + \pi. \quad (30)$$

Because of the vanishing of gauge interactions (see eq. (13)), the light quarks do not have interactions among themselves. This implies that the light quarks cannot build up a bound state. A given *exclusive* channel as (30) cannot be selected *in principle* from the correlation.

In general the infrared properties of *QCD* are not reproduced by the *LEET*, as shown by the following perturbative calculation.

Let us look at the propagator of a light meson (such as a π)

$$C(x) = \langle 0 | T \pi(x) \pi(0) | 0 \rangle \quad (31)$$

where $\pi(x) = \bar{u}(x) \Gamma d(x)$.

Its Fourier transform, computed in lowest order QCD perturbation theory, is ¹:

$$\begin{aligned} C_F &\sim \int d^4 k \frac{1}{(xP + k)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{((1-x)P - k)^2 + i\epsilon} \\ &\sim \int d^4 k \frac{1}{k_0 - k_z + k^2/(2xE) + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{k_0 - k_z - k^2/(2(1-x)E) - i\epsilon} \\ &\sim \int \frac{d^2 k_T dk_+ dk_-}{(k_- + k_+ k_-/(2xE) - k_T^2/(2xE) + i\epsilon)} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{(k_- - k_+ k_-/(2(1-x)E) + k_T^2/(2(1-x)E) - i\epsilon)} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where we have taken an external momentum $P = En$ with $n = (1; 0, 0, 1)$ and $E > 0$. The variable x represents the quark momentum fraction in the infinite momentum frame ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) ². $k_+ = k_0 + k_z$ and $k_- = k_0 - k_z$ are the usual light-cone variables and $k_T^2 = \vec{k}_T^2$. The poles in the k_- -plane are located at

$$k_- = \frac{k_T^2/(2xE) - i\epsilon}{1 + k_+/(2xE)}, \quad k_- = \frac{-k_T^2/(2(1-x)E) + i\epsilon}{1 - k_+/(2(1-x)E)} \quad (33)$$

Assuming a cutoff Λ on k_+ satisfying $\Lambda \ll E$, the integral is approximated by

$$C_F \sim \int d^2 k_T \int dk_+ \int dk_- \frac{1}{k_- - k_T^2/(2xE) + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{k_- + k_T^2/(2(1-x)E) - i\epsilon} \quad (34)$$

¹We neglect the numerator structure of the amplitude because we are looking only at infrared singularities, which appear as zeros of the denominator.

²We assume that the quark momentum distribution in the meson $q(x)$ is not singularly peaked at the endpoints $x = 0, 1$, so that xE and $(1-x)E$ can always be considered as large energies. A physical justification of this assumption comes from an expected Sudakov suppression of the elastic region.

There is a pinching of the poles in the k_- -plane for $k_T = 0$: in other words, the integration contour is trapped between two poles which coalesce in the limit $k_T \rightarrow 0$. The integral is logarithmically divergent³ with ϵ :

$$C_F \sim \int \frac{dk_T^2}{k_T^2 - i\epsilon} \sim \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \quad (35)$$

The effective theory amplitude is obtained taking the limit $E \rightarrow \infty$ in the integrand:

$$\begin{aligned} C_E(r=0) &\sim \int d^2k_T \int dk_0 dk_z \frac{1}{k_0 - k_z + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{k_0 - k_z - i\epsilon} \\ &\sim \int d^2k_T \int dk_+ \int dk_- \frac{1}{k_- + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{k_- - i\epsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where r is the meson residual momentum, which we set to zero.

The integrations over the transverse momentum and over k_+ give a cubic ultraviolet divergence (compare with eq. (12)). As for the infrared, we see that the integral over k_- involves a pinch singularity due to the infinitesimally close poles at $k_- = \pm i\epsilon$. We note that pinching occurs in the whole transverse momentum space, while it occurs only for $k_T \rightarrow 0$ in the full theory. In other words, infrared divergences in *QCD* are regulated by $\vec{k}_T^2/2E$ while they are regulated by $i\epsilon$ in the *LEET*. Integrating over k_- we pick up a $1/\epsilon$, i.e. a linearly divergent contribution:

$$C_E \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \quad (37)$$

Thus the infrared behaviour of the full theory is not reproduced by the *LEET*: a logarithmic infrared singularity in *QCD* is in fact converted into a linear (i.e. much stronger) singularity in the effective theory. This is related to the intrinsic ‘one-dimensionality’ of the *LEET* which misses altogether transverse momentum dynamics (responsible of bound states existence).

We can ask ourselves whether it is possible to modify the *LEET* in such a way to account for transverse degrees of freedom. We propose to include

³The infrared logarithmic singularity originates because the integral (32) does not contain any scale ($P^2 = 0$), so it is of the form $\int d^4k/(k^2)^2$, as can be seen explicitly introducing a Feynman parameter.

the leading kinetic correction into the propagator:

$$iS(k) = \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \frac{i}{n \cdot k - \vec{k}_T^2/2E + i\epsilon} \quad (38)$$

where we have taken $n^\mu = (1; 0, 0, 1)$, $k_T^\mu = (0; \vec{k}_T, 0)$ ⁴. Writing eq.(38) we follow an idea of ‘minimal correction’ of the *LEET* pathologies; we neglect for example the term $\hat{k}$ in the numerator of the full propagator, so that the spin structure is factorized.

We may call this new effective theory ‘Modified Large Energy Effective Theory’, $\overline{LEET}$ for short. Note that, unlike the *LEET* case, the hard scale E is still present in the theory, i.e. it cannot be completely removed.

The problem of pinch singularities previously discussed is solved replacing *LEET* propagators with $\overline{LEET}$ propagators: the meson propagator in the $\overline{LEET}$ has the form (34) so that pinch singularities occur only for $\vec{k}_T = 0$ instead of in the whole transverse momentum space. The $\overline{LEET}$ amplitude coincides with the full theory amplitude (32) for $k_+ \ll E$ and has the same infrared behaviour.

The propagator is given as a function of time and spatial momentum by

$$iS(t, \vec{k}) = \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \theta(t) \exp \left[-ik_z t - i \frac{k_T^2}{2E} t \right] \quad (39)$$

and in configuration space by

$$\begin{aligned} iS(t, \vec{x}) &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} iS(t, \vec{k}) \\ &= \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \theta(t) \delta(z - t) \frac{E}{2\pi i t} e^{iEb^2/(2t)} \\ &= iS^{LEET}(x) \frac{E}{2\pi i t} e^{iEb^2/(2t)} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $b = |\vec{x}_T|$ is the impact parameter. The effect of the transverse momentum term is factorized and produces a diffusion in the impact parameter

⁴ We can give a Lorentz invariant representation of the transverse momentum k_T with the Sudakov basis. If we define a second light-like vector η such that $n \cdot \eta = 2$ (in the usual frame, $\eta = (1; 0, 0, -1)$), we have $n \cdot k_T = \eta \cdot k_T = 0$ and $k_T^2 = -\vec{k}_T^2 = k^2 - n \cdot k \eta \cdot k$.

space: the factor in the last line of eq.(40) represents a gaussian process after analytic continuation $t_M = -it_E$. At large times, we have:

$$S(t, \vec{x}) \simeq \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \theta(t) \delta(z - t) \frac{E}{2\pi i t}. \quad (41)$$

We see that there is a diffusion normal to the classical particle trajectory $z = t$ produced by transverse momentum fluctuations, which is instead absent in the $LEET$. The amplitude for the particle to remain into the classical trajectory decays like $1/t$, so the probability decays like $1/t^2$.

The lagrangian of the $\overline{LEET}$, omitting the spin dependence, is

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = Q^\dagger(x) \left[in \cdot D + \frac{D_T^2}{2E} \right] Q(x). \quad (42)$$

We believe that the $\overline{LEET}$ is the correct effective theory for massless particles as long as exclusive processes are concerned.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank G. Martinelli and C. Sachrajda for discussions.

References

- [1] M. K. Gaillard and B. W. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 (1974) 108; G. Altarelli and M. Maiani, Phys. Lett. B 52 351; for the two-loop computation see: G. Altarelli, G. Curci, G. Martinelli and S. Petrarca, Nucl. Phys. B 187 (1981) 461-513.
- [2] M. J. Dugan and B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. B 255 (1991) 583; the formalism is modelled in analogy with that one developed for the $HQET$ in: H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. B 240 (1990) 447; for experimental tests of factorization in the effective theory framework see: C. Reader and N. Isgur, CEBAF-TH-91-23 preprint; T. Mannel, W. Roberts and Z. Ryzak, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) R18-R21.
- [3] J. D. Bjorken, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 11 (1989) 325-341.

- [4] U. Aglietti and G. Corbò, Rome 1 preprint 1188/97; submitted to Nuclear Physics B.
- [5] U. Aglietti, Phys. Lett. B 292 (1992) 424-426.
- [6] G. Sterman and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 (1977) 1436.